

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER   FILING DATE	YOHANAN FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	Sattorney Docked 91
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN	TM0270327	
	· ≪ FOX PLLC	SAEXIAMINER
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934		
		ART UN#173 PAPER NUMBER
		<b>16</b> 12 29 0
		DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

a)		is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
b)`	7	expires three months from the da	ite of the final rejection or as of the m period for the response expire later the	ailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no can six months from the date of the final rejection.
		The date on which the response, purposes of determining the peri	the petition, and the fee have been food of extension and the corresponding	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. illed is the date of the response and also the date for the gamount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR tutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Ар	pellant's Brief is due in accordance	e with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
		plicant's response to the final reject place the application in condition for		een considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1.		The proposed amendments to the	daim and /or specification will not be	entered and the final rejection stands because:
		a. There is no convincing sho presented.	wing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
		b. They raise new issues that	would require further consideration ar	nd/or search. (See Note).
		c. They raise the issue of nev	/ matter. (See Note).	
		d. They are not deemed to p appeal.	lace the application in better form for	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
		e.  They present additional cla	aims without cancelling a corresponding	ng number of finally rejected claims.
		NOTE:		
2.		Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	ms would be allo	wed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3.		Upon the filing an appeal, the probe as follows:	posed amendment 🔲 will be entere	d [] will not be entered and the status of the claims will
		Claims objected to:		<del></del>
		However;		<del></del>
		Applicant's response has over	ercome the following rejection(s):	
4.		The affidavit, exhibit or request fo	r reconsideration has been considere	d but does not overcome the rejection because
5. {		The affidavit or exhibit will not be presented.	considered because applicant has not	shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
$\Box$	The	proposed drawing correction	has has not been approved by	the examiner
_	Othe	–	. –	
<i>-</i> `	` .	ila a	possimen allevistes	the double potenting concern.
יסדכי	.202	(REV. 5-89)	w art has been f some claims are not	the dovide potenting concerns out Since the 1954 Sequelor Radionals. Finality is temoried.
		A wen you	finit 10tion will be Sev	or promptly.